

PUBLIC RECORD**Dates:** 02/02/2026 – 13/02/2026; 14/09/2026 to 22/09/2026

Doctor: Dr Martha EVANS

GMC reference number: 4633082

Primary medical qualification: MB ChB 1999 University of Manchester

Type of case	Outcome on facts	Outcome on impairment
New – Misconduct	Facts relevant to impairment found proved	Not impaired

Summary of outcome

Warning

Tribunal:

Legally Qualified Chair	Ms Helen Potts
Lay Tribunal Member:	Ms Sirah Abraham
Registrant Tribunal Member:	Dr Nicola Kemp

Tribunal Clerk:	Ms Ciara Fogarty
-----------------	------------------

Attendance and Representation:

Doctor:	Present, represented
Doctor's Representative:	Mr Kevin McCartney, Counsel, instructed by Weightmans
GMC Representative:	Ms Emma Gilsenan, Counsel

Attendance of Press / Public

In accordance with Rule 41 of the General Medical Council (Fitness to Practise) Rules 2004 the hearing was held partly in public and partly in private.

Protecting the Public

Throughout the decision making process the tribunal has borne in mind the statutory duty as set out in s1(1) of the Medical Act 1983 (the 1983 Act) to protect the public. The tribunal has considered the relevance and impact on each of the three distinct parts of public protection to protect, promote and maintain the health, safety and well-being of the public, to promote and maintain public confidence in the medical profession, and to promote and maintain proper professional standards and conduct for members of that profession.

This is an abridged record of the registrant's tribunal hearing.

The Tribunal has determined the facts as follows:

That being registered under the Medical Act 1983 (as amended):

1. On one or more occasions, between 19 October 2019 and 5 July 2021 you:
 - a. repeatedly made contact with Ms A, when requested not to by her, by:
 - i. email, using multiple email addresses; **Admitted and found proved**
 - ii. telephone; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iii. SMS text message; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iv. WhatsApp; **Admitted and found proved**
 - v. social media; **Admitted and found proved**
 - vi. Skype; **Admitted and found proved**

- vii. using the contact form via Ms A's website; **Admitted and found proved**
- viii. sending Ms A gifts; **Admitted and found proved**
- ix. sending Ms A money; **Admitted and found proved**
- b. created false social media profiles using Ms A's photograph; **Admitted and found proved**
- c. attempted to contact Ms A by making contact with Ms A's friends and/or family, including by:
 - i. email; **Admitted and found proved**
 - ii. WhatsApp message; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iii. sending them gifts for Ms A; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iv. attending the address of Ms B in August 2020, when not invited. **Admitted and found proved**
- 2. In January 2021 you used a premium service, via LinkedIn, in order to contact Ms A when you otherwise would not be able to. **Admitted and found proved**
- 3. On 6 July 2021, you were given a verbal warning by Cheshire Police about your behaviour during which you;
 - a. were told to stop contacting Ms A and any family members; **Admitted and found proved**
 - b. agreed to stop all contact. **Admitted and found proved**
- 4. Following your warning from Cheshire Police, between 6 July 2021 and 18 July 2023 you continued to make contact with:

- a. Ms A by:
 - i. email; **Admitted and found proved**
 - ii. social media; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iii. sending Ms A gifts; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iv. attending Ms A's performance on 14 June 2023; **Admitted and found proved**
 - b. Ms A's friends and/or family, including by:
 - i. WhatsApp Message; **Admitted and found proved**
 - ii. attending the address of Ms C on 7 July 2021, when not invited; **Admitted and found proved**
 - iii. sending them gifts for Ms A. **Admitted and found proved**
5. On 18 July 2023, you were given a verbal warning from the Metropolitan Police about your behaviour during which you:
- a. were told that your contact with Ms A; **Admitted and found proved**
 - i. was unwarranted and needed to stop; **Admitted and found proved**
 - ii. constituted stalking; **Admitted and found proved**
 - b. agreed to cease all contact with Ms A. **Admitted and found proved**
6. Following your warning from the Metropolitan Police, between 18 July 2023 and January 2024, you continued to make contact with Ms A, including by email. **Admitted and found proved**
7. Your conduct as set out at paragraphs 1, 2, 4 and 6 above amounted to harassment and/or stalking as defined by the Protection from Harassment Act

1997, when you knew, or ought to have known that your conduct amounted to harassment and/or stalking. **Determined and found proved**

And that by reason of the matters set out above your fitness to practise is impaired because of your misconduct. **Not impaired**

Warning

‘Dr Evans

From October 2019 to January 2024, Dr Evans engaged in a course of conduct in relation to Person A which amounted to harassment and stalking as defined by the Protection from Harassment Act 1997. The conduct was persistent and repeated. The conduct caused Person A distress and alarm. Dr Evans continued the conduct despite repeated requests by Person A to stop and despite two verbal warnings from the Police.

This conduct represents a clear and specific departure from the professional standards expected of a doctor as set out in Good Medical Practice and was sufficiently serious to amount to misconduct.

The misconduct occurred in a specific context in Dr Evans private life at a time when she was experiencing significant personal difficulties. There is no evidence that she has ever posed a risk to patients. These circumstances were significant mitigating factors which provided context and explanations whilst not excusing this behaviour. Dr Evans has undertaken substantial remediation and developed strategies to avoid repetition.

Whilst Dr Evans’ fitness to practise is not currently judged to be impaired by reason of her misconduct, and thus a restriction on her practice as a doctor is not required to protect the public, any repetition of such conduct is likely to result in a finding that her fitness to practise is impaired. Stalking and harassment are serious conduct which can leave victims feeling anxious, frightened, on edge and unsafe. It is necessary in response to issue this formal warning to place Dr Evans on notice about her future conduct.

Should Dr Evans engage in any future stalking or harassing behaviours, this risks bringing the profession into disrepute and is likely to result in a finding that her fitness to practise is impaired.

This warning will be published on the medical register in line with our publication and disclosure policy, which can be found at www.gmc-uk.org/disclosurepolicy.’

This is an abridged record of the registrant’s tribunal hearing. No further information will be published about this hearing.